# ASX Corporate Governance Council Principles and Recommendations 5th Edition Consultation 2024

The ASX Corporate Governance Council has released the following <u>consultation materials for a 5th Edition of the Council's Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> (*Principles and Recommendations*):

- a communique
- Background Paper and consultation questions
- Consultation Draft of a proposed 5th Edition of the Principles and Recommendations
- a mark-up of the Consultation Draft, against the 4th edition of the Principles and Recommendations.

The Background Paper and consultation questions includes commentary for the individual consultation questions appearing in this online questionnaire.

Council members have been actively engaged, bringing their perspectives on how the 5th Edition might respond to current governance developments and challenges. Members do not hold identical views on all matters; some of those matters are the subject of specific consultation questions. The Council encourages your participation in this consultation process.

#### How to participate

Submissions to the Council may be made by the end of Monday 6 May 2024, via this ASX portal.

This portal will permit you to make a submission as an online questionnaire, or by uploading your submission in PDF or Word.

The online questionnaire includes multiple choice responses. You may also include additional comments for each consultation question (up to approx. 250 words per comment) and at the conclusion of the survey (up to approx. 1,000 words).

Your work in progress will be saved if you return to the survey in the same browser and device. You can download a copy of your submission, when completed.

Please note that you will be asked to confirm that you have not made another submission in this process.

Please press Next to participate in this consultation.

### Q4. About you

These introductory questions will help us manage submissions.

If you would like your submission to be treated as confidential, please indicate this clearly. The Council may choose to publish submissions (in whole or in part) on the <u>ASX website</u>, but will not do so where a submission is clearly marked confidential.

# Q5. A. Is your submission confidential?

(Confidential submissions will be handled as set out above)

Q6. B. (Required fields are marked wit	2.*)
required fields are marked wit	,
_	
Organisation (if applicable)	Confidant Partners
Q48. C. Please confirm on wh	ose behalf you are making this submission.
<ul><li>On behalf of my organisation</li></ul>	
On my own behalf	
Q7. D. Please choose one cat submission	egory which best describes the capacity in which you are making this
Subillission	
ASX market retail investor	
ASX market institutional investor	
S&P/ASX300 listed entity	
Other ASX listed entity	
Non-executive director: S&P/ASX3	00
Non-executive director: other ASX	listed entity
<ul> <li>Professional adviser (please species</li> </ul>	Outsourced Company Secretary and General Counsel
<ul> <li>Industry association</li> </ul>	
○ Academic	
ASX Corporate Governance Council	cil member
Other ASX market stakeholder (ple	ase specify)
Other stakeholder (please specify)	

YesNo

Q49. E. Please confirm that you have not made another submission in this process. (Only one submission will be accepted)

9. Submission process				
10. F. Would you like to d	complete this onlin	e questionnaire or u	pload your submission	on?
I would like to complete this or	nline questionnaire			
O I would like to upload my subm	nission			
8. <b>Please upload your su</b> Ince you attach your subm			and record vour respon	ise.)
		oni, and the contract of	ana record year recpon	
This question was not displayed to t	the respondent.			
11 Reducing regulatory	overlan			
11. Reducing regulatory	overlap			
11. Reducing regulatory	overlap			
13. 1. Do you support de	letion of the follow		ommendations, on the	e basis that
	letion of the follow		ommendations, on the	e basis that
13. 1. Do you support de	letion of the follow		ommendations, on the Do not support deletion	e basis that  No comment
13. 1. Do you support de	eletion of the follow tion under Australia	an law?		
13. 1. Do you support de ere is significant regulat ecommendation 3.4 closure of anti-bribery and	eletion of the follow tion under Australia	an law?		
13. 1. Do you support de ere is significant regulat de ecommendation 3.4 closure of anti-bribery and ruption policy)?	eletion of the follow tion under Australia	an law?		
13. 1. Do you support de ere is significant regulat ecommendation 3.4 closure of anti-bribery and ruption policy)? Recommendation 4.2 (CEO and D declaration for financial ements)? Recommendation 6.4 ostantive security holder	eletion of the follow tion under Australia	an law?		
tecommendation 3.4 closure of anti-bribery and uption policy)? tecommendation 4.2 (CEO and declaration for financial ements)? tecommendation 6.4 ostantive security holder polutions on a poll)? tecommendation 6.5 (offering etronic communications to	eletion of the follow tion under Australia	an law?		

Despite the fact that these areas are subject to significant regulation under Australian law, in our experience, with respect to small-mid cap ASX entities which may have significantly smaller legal/compliance resources/budgets, it helps to reiterate what good governance looks like (even if its prescribed under legislation etc) and serve as timely reminders on what the Board/Committees should be striving for.

<ul><li>Support retention</li></ul>				
Mostly support retention				
O not support retention				
O No comment				
Q44. Your comments.				
215. Board skills				
)54 2 Barrana dation (	0 0 The Comme	alas ada as as assaulta d'as	6 - 1	
kills a board is looking fo				
kills a board is looking fo				
kills a board is looking for kills?  Recommendation 2.2(a): current ard skills and skills that the	or. Do you suppo	rt disclosure of the follow	ing information	n about board
Recommendation 2.2(a): current and skills and skills that the ard is looking for?  Recommendation 2.2(b): the tity's process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience	yes	rt disclosure of the follow	No	No comment
Recommendation 2.2(a): current ard skills and skills that the ard is looking for?  Recommendation 2.2(b): the tity's process for assessing that e relevant skills and experience e held by its directors?	yes	rt disclosure of the follow	No	No comment
	Yes  nportance of the role peng the relevant skills, it	rt disclosure of the follow	No  Cant influence in driv	No comment
Recommendation 2.2(a): current and skills and skills that the and is looking for?  Recommendation 2.2(b): the tity's process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience a held by its directors?  Q16. Your comments.  With respect to 2.2(b), given the in addition to the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing the process for assessing the process for assessing the process for a second skills and the process for assessing the process for a second skills and the process for assessing the process for a second skills are the process for a second skills and the process for assessing the process for a second skills are the process for assessing the process for a second skills are	Yes  nportance of the role peng the relevant skills, it	Yes in principle, but	No  Cant influence in driv	No comment
Recommendation 2.2(a): current ard skills and skills that the ard is looking for?  Recommendation 2.2(b): the tity's process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience a held by its directors?  Q16. Your comments.  With respect to 2.2(b), given the in addition to the process for assessing that a relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience are relevant skills and experience and the process for assessing that are relevant skills and experience are relevant skills are relevant skills and experience are relevant skills are relev	Yes  nportance of the role peng the relevant skills, it	Yes in principle, but	No  Cant influence in driv	No comment

Do you support raising the S&P/ASX300 measurable objective to a gender balanced board?

Q17. 2. In particular, the Council encourages feedback on the proposed deletion of Recommendation

○ Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
○ No
No comment
Q55. Your comments.
Q20. 5. Recommendation 2.3(c): The Council already recommends disclosure of a board's approach and progress on gender diversity.
and progress on gender diversity.
Do you support the proposed disclosure of any other relevant diversity characteristics (in addition to gender) which are being considered for the board's membership?
gender) which are being considered for the board's membership?
○ Yes
Yes in principle, but
No
○ No comment
O No continent
Q56. Your comments.
Whilst Boards may beneficial from diversity, we don't believe this would actually drive the intended change.
Q21. 6. Recommendation 3.4(c): The Council already recommends disclosure of an entity's diversity
and inclusion policy and disclosure of certain gender metrics.
Do you support the proposal to also recommend disclosure of the effectiveness of an entity's
diversity and inclusion practices?
Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
○ No
○ No comment
Q57. Your comments.

e disclosure of effectiveness would be important to drive accountability.
support increasing the security holding reference included in the independence of a director) from a substantial holder (5% re)?
Council already recommends that a listed entity should have a breaches of that code to its board or a board committee.  ure (on a de-identified basis) of the outcomes of actions taken breaches of its code?
e on smaller ASX entities (who lack resources, have less personnel etc), however, we appreciate the losure such as this, accordingly we would support the implementation of this new recommendation for
oreaches of that code to its board or a board committee.  ure (on a de-identified basis) of the outcomes of actions taken oreaches of its code?  e on smaller ASX entities (who lack resources, have less personnel etc), however, we apprecia

Q27. 9. Principle 3: Do you support the proposed amendments to Principle 3 (acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly), to include references to an entity's stakeholders?
Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
○ No
O No comment
Q59. Your comments.
Q28. 10. Recommendation 3.3: Does this new Recommendation appropriately balance the interests of security holders, other key stakeholders, and the listed entity?
"A listed entity should have regard to the interests of the entity's key stakeholders, including having processes for the entity to engage with them and to report material issues to the board."
Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
○ No
O No comment
Q60. Your comments.
Q29. Periodic corporate reports and assurance
Q30. 11. Recommendation 4.2: Do you support the proposed disclosure of processes for verification of all periodic corporate reports (including the extent to which a report has been the subject of assurance by an external assurance practitioner)?
Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
○ No

0	No comment
Q61	. Your comments.
	. 12. Recommendation 4.3: Do you support the proposed disclosure of an entity's auditor tenure, n the engagement was last comprehensively reviewed and the outcomes from that review?
	Yes
0	Yes in principle, but
0	No
0	No comment
Q62	. Your comments.
Q32	. Management of risk
mate revie	. 13. Recommendation 7.4: The Council is seeking to enhance the quality of existing reporting of erial risks to an entity's business model and strategy, such as in the operating and financial ew in its directors' report.
	tifying specific risks for all entities to disclose against?
	Yes
0	Yes in principle, but
0	No
0	No comment
Q64	. Your comments.
	ven that IPO prospectuses required fulsome disclosure of all material risks, we consider that the need to review/disclosure this on a periodic basis is the precedent, and would be in the interests of Shareholders.

O Yes

Yes in principle, but...

Q37. 14. Recommendation 8.2:	This proposed Recommendation reflects and simplifies e	existing
commentary in the 4th Edition.		

Do you support this proposed F	Recommendation that no	n-executive directors ne	ot receive
performance-based remuneration	on or retirement benefits	?	

No		
O No comment		
Q65. Your comments.		

We appreciate that NEDs with performance based remuneration may impact their independence, however, we do not support a recommendation this prescriptive, as it overly simplifies the issue.

# Q40. 15. Recommendation 8.3: Do you support the following proposed clawback Recommendations?

	Yes	Yes in principle, but	No	No comment
a. Recommendation 8.3(a): remuneration structures which can clawback or otherwise limit remuneration outcomes for senior executive performance-based remuneration?	0	0		0
b. Recommendation 8.3(b): disclosure of the use of those provisions (on a de-identified basis) during the reporting period?	0	0	•	0
Q66. Your comments.				

Q41. Additional Recommendations that apply only in certain cases

Q42. 16. Do you support the inclusion of the following new Recommendations for entities established outside Australia, on the basis that these Recommendations generally reflect expectations under

a. Recommendation 9.3 (CEO and CFO declaration for financial statements)  b. Recommendation 9.4 (Substantive security holder resolutions on a poll)  c. Recommendation 9.5 (offering electronic communications to security holders)  d. Recommendation 9.7 (policy on nedging of equity-based remuneration)  Q71. Your comments.  Q43. Externally managed entities  Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommendations to externally managed lister  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes in principle, but  No  No comment	Yes in principle, but	No	No comment
FO declaration for financial atements)  Recommendation 9.4 substantive security holder solutions on a poll)  Recommendation 9.5 (offering ectronic communications to ecurity holders)  Recommendation 9.7 (policy on edging of equity-based emuneration)  Q71. Your comments.  Q43. Externally managed entities  Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommendations to externally managed entities, compared to the recommendations to externally managed lister  Yes  Yes  Yes in principle, but  No		0	0
ubstantive security holder solutions on a poll)  Recommendation 9.5 (offering ectronic communications to ecurity holders)  Recommendation 9.7 (policy on edging of equity-based emuneration)  Q71. Your comments.  Q43. Externally managed entities  Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommentations to externally managed entities, compared to the recommendations to externally managed lister  Yes  Yes  Yes in principle, but  No		0	0
Recommendation 9.7 (policy on edging of equity-based emuneration)  Q71. Your comments.  Q43. Externally managed entities  Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommenternally managed entities, compared to the recommendations to externally managed listernally managed	0		0
Q43. Externally managed entities  Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommenter and externally managed entities, compared to the respective of the respecti	0	0	0
Q43. Externally managed entities  Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommendations and externally managed entities, compared to the respective to the respective of the resp			
Q44. 17. Should any new or amended Recommexternally managed entities, compared to the recommendations to externally managed listed  Yes  Yes No			
Yes in principle, but  No	nanner proposed in		
No			
O No comment			
Q68. Your comments.			

Q45. Effective Date

Q46. 18. Do you support an effective date for the Fifth Edition of the first reporting period commencing on or after 1 July 2025?



	○ No
	○ No comment
Q	69. Your comments.

## Q46. Other comments

Yes in principle, but...

19. Do you wish to provide any other comments on the content of the Consultation Draft, including any other changes you would propose? (*Approx. 1,000 word limit*)

In relation to Principle 2, we consider that the focus should remain on the collective Board, as opposed the skills of individual Directors.

