ASX Corporate Governance Council Principles and Recommendations 5th Edition Consultation 2024

The ASX Corporate Governance Council has released the following <u>consultation materials for a 5th Edition of the Council's Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations</u> (*Principles and Recommendations*):

- a communique
- Background Paper and consultation questions
- Consultation Draft of a proposed 5th Edition of the Principles and Recommendations
- a mark-up of the Consultation Draft, against the 4th edition of the Principles and Recommendations.

The Background Paper and consultation questions includes commentary for the individual consultation questions appearing in this online questionnaire.

Council members have been actively engaged, bringing their perspectives on how the 5th Edition might respond to current governance developments and challenges. Members do not hold identical views on all matters; some of those matters are the subject of specific consultation questions. The Council encourages your participation in this consultation process.

How to participate

Submissions to the Council may be made by the end of Monday 6 May 2024, via this ASX portal.

This portal will permit you to make a submission as an online questionnaire, or by uploading your submission in PDF or Word.

The online questionnaire includes multiple choice responses. You may also include additional comments for each consultation question (up to approx. 250 words per comment) and at the conclusion of the survey (up to approx. 1,000 words).

Your work in progress will be saved if you return to the survey in the same browser and device. You can download a copy of your submission, when completed.

Please note that you will be asked to confirm that you have not made another submission in this process.

Please press Next to participate in this consultation.

Q4. About you

These introductory questions will help us manage submissions.

If you would like your submission to be treated as confidential, please indicate this clearly. The Council may choose to publish submissions (in whole or in part) on the <u>ASX website</u>, but will not do so where a submission is clearly marked confidential.

Q5. A. Is your submission confidential?

(Confidential submissions will be handled as set out above)

Q6. B.	
(Required fields are marked wi	th *)
	Lunnon Metals
Organisation (if applicable)	Edition Models
Telephone	
049 C Blassa confirm on w	age hehalf you are making this submission
Q40. C. Flease commit on wi	nose behalf you are making this submission.
On behalf of my organisation	
On my own behalf	
	tegory which best describes the capacity in which you are making this
submission	
ASX market retail investor	
ASX market institutional investor	
S&P/ASX300 listed entity	
Other ASX listed entity	
Non-executive director: S&P/ASX:	300
Non-executive director: other ASX	listed entity
O Professional adviser (please spec	ify)
 Industry association 	
○ Academic	
ASX Corporate Governance Coun	cil member
Other ASX market stakeholder (ple	ease specify)
Other stakeholder (please specify	

YesNo

 $\it Q49.$ E. Please confirm that you have not made another submission in this process. (Only one submission will be accepted)

20 Submission process				
9. Submission process				
10. F. Would you like to co	omplete this onlin	e questionnaire or u	pload your submission	on?
I would like to complete this onli	ine questionnaire			
O I would like to upload my submis	ssion			
8. Please upload your sub Once you attach your submi			and record your respon	ise.)
		,	, _,	,
This question was not displayed to th	ne respondent.			
44. Doducina soculatoru	werlan			
11. Reducing regulatory of	venap			
777. Reducing regulatory o	Успар			
77. Reducing regulatory of	νστιαρ			
213. 1. Do you support dele	etion of the follow		ommendations, on the	e basis that
213. 1. Do you support dele	etion of the follow		ommendations, on the	e basis that
213. 1. Do you support dele	etion of the follow		ommendations, on the Do not support deletion	e basis that No comment
213. 1. Do you support delenere is significant regulations.	etion of the follow on under Australia	an law?		
Recommendation 3.4 sclosure of anti-bribery and ruption policy)? Recommendation 4.2 (CEO and O declaration for financial	etion of the follow on under Australia Support deletion	an law?	Do not support deletion	No comment
Recommendation 3.4 sclosure of anti-bribery and rruption policy)? Recommendation 4.2 (CEO and 60 declaration for financial stements)? Recommendation 6.4 sbstantive security holder	etion of the follow on under Australia Support deletion	an law?	Do not support deletion	No comment
Recommendation 3.4 sclosure of anti-bribery and muption policy)? Recommendation 4.2 (CEO and to declaration for financial attements)? Recommendation 6.4 abstantive security holder solutions on a poll)? Recommendation 6.5 (offering actronic communications to curity holders)?	etion of the follow on under Australia Support deletion	an law?	Do not support deletion	No comment
Recommendation 3.4 sclosure of anti-bribery and ruption policy)? Recommendation 4.2 (CEO and O declaration for financial tements)? Recommendation 6.4 stantive security holder solutions on a poll)? Recommendation 6.5 (offering ctronic communications to curity holders)? Recommendation 8.2 (separate closure of remuneration policies non-executive directors, other	etion of the follow on under Australia Support deletion	an law?	Do not support deletion	No comment
Recommendation 3.4 sclosure of anti-bribery and ruption policy)? Recommendation 4.2 (CEO and O declaration for financial tements)? Recommendation 6.4 destantive security holder solutions on a poll)? Recommendation 6.5 (offering actronic communications to	etion of the follow on under Australia Support deletion	an law?	Do not support deletion	No comment

To the extent these overlap with the law, they are good box checking exercises and summary of key governance requirements. It also assists international shareholders, where these requirements may not be law in other jurisdictions. Electronic shareholder requirement should be removed as this is common practice anyhow given it is simplest and makes economic sense.

Q17. 2. In particular, the Co 3.3 (disclosure of whistleb				
Support retention				
Mostly support retention				
O not support retention				
O No comment				
Q44. Your comments.				
It is a good box checking exercises may not be law in other jurisdiction		governance requirements. It also ass	sists international sha	reholders, where these requirements
Q15. Board skills				
Q51. 3. Recommendation 2 skills a board is looking for skills?				
	Yes	Yes in principle, but	No	No comment
a. Recommendation 2.2(a): current coard skills and skills that the coard is looking for?	•	0	0	0
o. Recommendation 2.2(b): the entity's process for assessing that he relevant skills and experience are held by its directors?	0		0	0
Q16. Your comments.				
	apability is incredibly ha	and is primarily based on experience ard. Setting requirements is likely jus capability.		-
Q18. Diversity				
Q19. 4. Recommendation 2 exceeds the existing measurement				

Do you support raising the S&P/ASX300 measurable objective to a gender balanced board?

○ Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
No
○ No comment
Q55. Your comments.
While gender diversity is admirable, it also needs to be balanced with obtaining the requisite skills. In mining, which has been and continues to be historically male dominated in the core geolofy, mining and metallurgy fields, it can be difficult to find suitably skilled female Directors who don't have
conflicting or overloaded directorships.
Q20. 5. Recommendation 2.3(c): The Council already recommends disclosure of a board's approach and progress on gender diversity.
Do you support the proposed disclosure of any other relevant diversity characteristics (in addition to gender) which are being considered for the board's membership?
○ Yes
Yes in principle, but
 No
○ No comment
Q56. Your comments.
For larger companies, this may be an option to consider but for smaller companies with smaller boards, finding directors with the requisite skills is crucial.
To larger companies, this may be an option to consider but to smaller companies with smaller boards, infulling directors with the requisite skills is ducial.
Q21. 6. Recommendation 3.4(c): The Council already recommends disclosure of an entity's diversity and inclusion policy and disclosure of certain gender metrics.
Do you support the proposal to also recommend disclosure of the effectiveness of an entity's diversity and inclusion practices?
○ Yes
Yes in principle, but
○ No
O No comment

Q57. Your comments.

	ttractions and amenities due to limited scale, meaning smaller companies are ultimately forced to be less selective (particularly in times of near full mployment)
Q22	2. Independence of directors
Box	3. 7. Recommendation 2.4: Do you support increasing the security holding reference included in c 2.4 (factors relevant to assessing the independence of a director) from a substantial holder (5% more) to a 10% holder (10% or more)?
) Yes
	Yes in principle, but
) No
) No comment
Q58	8. Your comments.
h	his aligns with other references and other jurisdictions' definition of substantial (for example Toronto Stock Exchange). For smaller companies, there is igher likelihood of higher shareholding percentages due to initial founders/investors selling down or institutional investors having minimum dollar ositions to make their equity position meaningful to their fund.
	7. Corporate conduct and culture 5. 8. Recommendation 3.2(c): The Council already recommends that a listed entity should have a
	le of conduct and report material breaches of that code to its board or a board committee.
	you support the proposed disclosure (on a de-identified basis) of the outcomes of actions taken the entity in response to material breaches of its code?
) Yes
	Yes in principle, but
) No
) No comment
Q7(7. Your comments.
ic n th	his should be limited to companies in the ASX300 and with more than a certain number of employees (at least 100). Otherwise, it may be possible to lentify employees despite the de-identified basis. Practically, the Company may also be prevented from any disclosure under the terms of any egotiated settlement. Commonly, dismissals for breaches of the code result in claims for unfair dismissal. These are often settled via mediation through the Fair Work Commission with no disparagement and no disclosure, with the employee being allowed to "resign" and statement by the company to that ffect.

This should be limited to ASX300 companies and companies with at least 100 people. Otherwise, the effectiveness of an entity's diversity and inclusion practices is unlikely to be representative (sample size simply too small and changes may not have any causal connection with actual effectiveness). For smaller companies, there is likely to be less role flexibility (with smaller teams), less roles (with roles being more generalist than specialised), and less

Q30. 11. Recommendation 4.2: Do you support the proposed disclosure of processes for verification of all periodic corporate reports (including the extent to which a report has been the subject of assurance by an external assurance practitioner)?

Yes

Yes in principle, but
No
O No comment
Q61. Your comments.
Qor. Tour confinents.
If anything, this should be limited to ASX300 companies. External assurance is a growing area (particularly ESG) but consideration needs to be given to the availability and capability of this assurance (noting pressures already on audit firms to obtain and retain quality staff). A process involving internal assurance is valuable but likely something only companies with more financial and human resources are capable of.
Q31. 12. Recommendation 4.3: Do you support the proposed disclosure of an entity's auditor tenure, when the engagement was last comprehensively reviewed and the outcomes from that review?
○ Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
No
O No comment
Q62. Your comments.
Despite not intending to introduce tenure limits, this is ultimately likely to driving an increase in changes in auditors. This increases the cost to the company, and loss of productivity for auditors - ultimately driving up audit costs further. It would be better for companies to outline the policy and process of review of auditors performance and circumstances that may lead to a change in auditor, and whether any of these circumstances have been triggered in the reporting period.
Q32. Management of risk
Q35. 13. Recommendation 7.4: The Council is seeking to enhance the quality of existing reporting of material risks to an entity's business model and strategy, such as in the operating and financial review in its directors' report.
Do you support the proposal that the entity identify and disclose its material risks, rather than identifying specific risks for all entities to disclose against?
Yes
Yes in principle, but
○ No
O No comment

Q64. Your comments.

Material risks differ significantly from sector to sector. Companies should be reporting material risks that apply to their business which will help investor
understand current concerns or priorities between differing organisations and sectors. A focus on reporting contemporary new risks often distracts an
organisation from focusing on materiality, and leads to a perception that these risks may be material than they actually are.

Q36. Remuneration

Q37. 14. Recommendation 8.2: This proposed Recommendation reflects and simplifies existing commentary in the 4th Edition.

Do you support this proposed Recommendation that non-executive directors not receive performance-based remuneration or retirement benefits?

\circ	Yes in principle, but	
) No	
) No comment	
065	. Vour comments	

Q65. Your comments.

Yes

On remuneration for non-executive directors, it would be helpful to clarify what is performance based remuneration. For example, are options with an exercise price materially above the current share price considered performance based? An element of performance based remuneration for non-executive directors may be warranted for smaller companies where directors are more intimately involved with the Company and the Company's success is more dependent on non-executive's involvement. Secondly, the Company may not have ongoing adequate funds to attract and retain appropriately qualified directors - simple service rights may lead to significant dilution over the longer term.

Q40. 15. Recommendation 8.3: Do you support the following proposed clawback Recommendations?

	Yes	Yes in principle, but	No	No comment
a. Recommendation 8.3(a): remuneration structures which can clawback or otherwise limit remuneration outcomes for senior executive performance-based remuneration?	•	0	0	0
b. Recommendation 8.3(b): disclosure of the use of those provisions (on a de-identified basis) during the reporting period?	•	0	0	0

Q66. Your comments.

A de-identified basis may not be possible given the number of employees entitled to performance based remuneration. Additionally, settlement of disputes may require no disclosure.

Q42. 16. Do you support the inclusion of the following new Recommendations for entities established outside Australia, on the basis that these Recommendations generally reflect expectations under Australian law?

	Yes	Yes in principle, but	No	No comment
Recommendation 9.3 (CEO and O declaration for financial tements)	•	0	0	0
Recommendation 9.4 ubstantive security holder solutions on a poll)	•	0	0	0
Recommendation 9.5 (offering octronic communications to curity holders)	0	0	•	0
Recommendation 9.7 (policy on dging of equity-based nuneration)		0	0	0
Q43. Externally managed ent	iities			
xternally managed entities,	compared to t	he manner proposed in T		
xternally managed entities,	compared to t	he manner proposed in T		
xternally managed entities, Recommendations to externa	compared to t	he manner proposed in T		
externally managed entities, Recommendations to externation of the second of the seco	compared to t	he manner proposed in T		
Yes in principle, but	compared to t	he manner proposed in T		
externally managed entities, Recommendations to externate Yes Yes No	compared to t	he manner proposed in T		

commencing on or after 1 July 2025?
Yes
○ Yes in principle, but
○ No
○ No comment
Q69. Your comments.
Q46. Other comments
19. Do you wish to provide any other comments on the content of the Consultation Draft, including any other changes you would propose? (<i>Approx. 1,000 word limit</i>)

Q46. 18. Do you support an effective date for the Fifth Edition of the first reporting period

