## Page 1 - Respondent Information

Description	Please complete the details below
Your Organisation Name	SecuritEase International Limited
Do you want your response to be treated as confidential?	No
What role does your organisation play in the Australian market?	Other (Please specify)
What role does your organisation play in the Australian market? - Other (Please specify) - Tex	Software system provider to ASX participants and AMOs

Page 2 - Whitepaper Questions (Should the respondent have a view please answer those questions that they consider to be applicable). This section is not mandatory.

Reference Q1 Would a settlem internal	or <b>T+1 (reasons)</b> a decision to adopt, or not adopt, <b>T+1</b>	Response (free format)	Guidance Notes
settlem internat	a decision to adopt, or not adopt, T+1		
	nent affect the Australian market's tional competitiveness as a destination eign investment?	Over time, yes, given that North American markets have successfully moved to T+1, the imperative for the Australian market to also move sooner rather than later seems apparent.	(free text) Topic: Capital Flows
, i	o section 3.2 of Whitepaper)		
restricti particip		Unlikely in the short term.	(free text)  Topic: Capital Flows
	o section 3.2 of Whitepaper)		(f+)
organisa example experie	u quantify the likely impact to your action's fail rate of a move to T+1 (for le, based on your organisation's ence in other markets)?	From a back office system capability perspective their are no increased risks of settlement failures with the SecuritEase System.	(free text) Topic: Settlement Risk
	s the scale of investment and technology	The SecuritEase System already supports any settlement period including T+1.	(free text)
a move lead tim involved estimat specific	required for your organisation to support to T+1 settlement, from both a cost and ne perspective (for those organisations d in overseas transitions would you te Australia to be more/less work than toverseas markets)?  o section 3.4 of Whitepaper)		Topic: Operational Risks and Processes
	echnology upgrades would your	None.	(free text)
T+1?	ation (and clients) need to do to support	As per our response to Question 4. configuration changes and associated testing activities would be required.	Topic: Operational Risks and Processes
	o section 3.4 of Whitepaper) narket-wide technology or infrastructure	Assuming the banking system (inc RITS) and CHESS readiness, regulatory support for technology	(free text)
adoptio T+1?	on would be needed to support a move to o section 3.4 of Whitepaper)	best practice global standards would be beneficial (FIX and ISO). The availability and resilience of the Australian payments system infrastructure is obviously a	Topic: Operational Risks and Processes
to move	ould impact your organisation's capacity e to T+1? o section 3.4 of Whitepaper)	Not applicable as SecuritEase and the SecuritEase System already supports any settlement period including T+1.	(free text)  Topic: Operational Risks and Processes
	ure all investors have time to match	Implementing real-time trade matching capabilities where they don't already exist, possibly	(free text)
conside to any t confirm etc?	tions, what options/solutions do you er viable, or necessary, to be in place prior transition to T+1, such as trade matching nation platforms, system/rule changes	extending operational hours for trade matching, and ensuring robust pre-settlement communication protocols would be necessary. Rule changes for timely trade matching and confirmation processes would also required.	Topic: Trading Activity and Middle Office Processes
	o section 3.4.1 of Whitepaper)	Australia remains on T±2 and the HS (and notantially other major clobal maybets) answers	select the applicable
	ne perspective of ETF issuers which o best fits the needs of the Australian ETF ?	Australia remains on T+2 and the US (and potentially other major global markets) operates on T+1	select the applicable response from the drop down
(refer to	o section 3.4.2 of Whitepaper)		Topic: ETF Management
QID17 Can you	u tell us why?		(free text) as to why you responded as you did for question 9
			Topic: ETF Management

Page 2 - Whitepaper Questions (Should the respondent have a view please answer those questions that they consider to be applicable). This section is not mandatory.

Question Reference	Case for T+1 (reasons)	Response (free format)	Guidance Notes
Q10	In the event that Australia adopts T+1, what potential measures need to be considered to alleviate the challenges for ETF issuers?		(free text)  Topic: ETF Management
Q11	(refer to section 3.4.2 of Whitepaper) In the event that Australia remains on T+2, what		(free text)
411	potential measures need to be considered to alleviate the challenges for ETF issuers?  (refer to section 3.4.2 of Whitepaper)		Topic: ETF Management
Q12	What changes would be required to the securities lending market to facilitate/enable a move to T+1 (e.g. centralised, regulatory changes)? Would the changes need to be in place prior to a move to T+1?  (refer to section 3.4.3 of Whitepaper)		(free text) Topic: Securities Lending
	What are the key changes that would need to be made to the CHESS batch settlement process to facilitate T+1 settlement (including potentially moving the batch settlement in RITS to later in the day)?  (refer to section 3.4.4 of Whitepaper)		(free text)  Topic: Australian  Banking System
Q14	In the broader banking eco-system, what (if any) changes would be required to facilitate post-CHESS batch settlement processes?		(free text)  Topic: Australian Banking System
Q15	(refer to section 3.4.4 of Whitepaper)  Please provide perspectives from investors (both		(free text)
4.5	retail and institutional) regarding demand to move to T+1?  (refer to section 3.5.2 of Whitepaper)		Topic: Investors Domestic and Global
Q16	Please provide information on the impacts of a move to T+1 in Australia on global investors (including investors who use intermediaries), and what pre-conditions or tools would need to be in place to support a move to T+1?  (refer to section 3.5.2 of Whitepaper)		(free text)  Topic: Investors  Domestic and Global
Q17	For investors requiring foreign exchange to fund trades, if Australia moved to T+1 would you be able to fund AUD bank accounts in time for daily settlement, and if not, what changes or solutions would be required to make this viable?  (refer to section 3.5.2 of Whitepaper)	From a SecuritEase System perspective we interface to any required FX provider and move funds in accordance with our client's instructions.	(free text)  Topic: Investors  Domestic and Global
Q18	Please provide further information on the impacts of a move to T+1 on issuers, including changes that would be required to support issuers in a move to T+1?		(free text)  Topic: Issuers / listed companies, corporate actions
010	(refer to section 3.5.3 of Whitepaper)	Convince on (and does) included T-14 could be a second T-15 could be	(for a bood)
Q19	How much lead-time would your organisation (including service providers) require before implementation if a decision was made to move to T+1 in Australia?	SecuritEase can (and does) implement T+1 settlement extremely quickly. However, it will be the timeframes of our customers that will dictate the lead times required for any Australian market shift to T+1.	(free text) Topic: Other
Q20	Is there any other feedback or information you would like to share?	SecuritEase is supportive of the near term shift to T+1 and therefore alignment with North American markets and the intentions of other markets to shorten their respective settlement cycles. We are a customer led company and will align and support the wishes of our customers.	(free text) Topic: Other